Supreme Court, U. S.
FILED
OCT 31 1975
MICHAEL RODAK, JR., CLERK

IN THE

Supreme Court of the United States

October Term, 1975

No. 74-1574

UNITED MINE WORKERS OF AMERICA, ET AL.,
Petitioners,

v.

ARMCO STEEL CORPORATION, ET AL.,
Respondents.

UNITED MINE WORKERS OF AMERICA, ET AL.,
Petitioners,

v.

UNITED STATES STEEL CORPORATION,
Respondent.

On Petition for a Writ of Certiorari to the
United States Court of Appeals
for the Fourth Circuit

PETITION FOR REHEARING

HARRISON COMBS
PAUL R. HOEBER
STEVEN B. JACOBSON
900 Fifteenth Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20005
LEWIS D. SARGENTICH
32 Avon Street
Cambridge, Massachusetts 02138
JAMES M. HAVILAND
908 Union Building
Charleston, West Virginia 25301
Attorneys for Petitioners

IN THE

Supreme Court of the United States

October Term, 1975

No. 74-1574

UNITED MINE WORKERS OF AMERICA, ET AL.,
Petitioners,

v.

ARMCO STEEL CORPORATION, ET AL.,
Respondents.

UNITED MINE WORKERS OF AMERICA, ET AL.,
Petitioners,

v.

UNITED STATES STEEL CORPORATION,
Respondent.

On Petition for a Writ of Certiorari to the United States Court of Appeals for the Fourth Circuit

---

PETITION FOR REHEARING

The petitioners herein respectfully move this Court (1) to vacate its denial of the petition for a writ of certiorari and (2) to hold consideration of the petition in abeyance pending disposition of *Buffalo Forge Co.* v. *Steelworkers,* No.

75-339. As grounds for this motion, petitioners state the following:

> THIS COURT HAS NOW GRANTED CERTIORARI IN BUFFALO FORGE CO. v. STEELWORKERS, NO. 75-339, TO CONSIDER SUBSTANTIALLY THE SAME QUESTION PRESENTED BY THE PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI HEREIN.

On October 6, 1975, this Court denied the petition for a writ of certiorari in the instant case, with Mr. Justice Douglas and Mr. Justice Stewart noting that they would grant the requested writ. However, on October 20, 1975, this Court granted certiorari in No. 75-339, *Buffalo Forge Co.* v. *Steelworkers,* to consider substantially the same question presented by the petition herein.

In *Buffalo Forge,* the Second Circuit considered the question of whether a federal district court can issue a back-to-work injunction, "if a union enters into a collective bargaining agreement establishing a mandatory arbitration procedure and including a no-strike clause" and then honors "another union's" picket line. 517 F.2d at 1208. The Second Circuit held that the Norris-LaGuardia Act prohibited injunctive relief, and this Court has granted certiorari to review that holding. Similarly, the petition in the instant case presents the question of whether a federal district court can issue a back-to-work injunction, "if a union enters into a collective bargaining agreement establishing a mandatory arbitration procedure [but not a no-strike clause] and then engages in a work stoppage solely out of deference to a foreign picket line." Petition, p. 2. The court below held that the Norris-LaGuardia Act did not prohibit injunctive relief.

Petitioners do not ask this Court to immediately grant certiorari and hear this case simultaneously with *Buffalo Forge*. They ask only that their petition be held in abeyance pending disposition of another case presenting substantially the same issue, and then dealt with in light of that disposition, in line with the usual practice of this Court.

CONCLUSION

For the reasons set forth above, petitioners pray that this Court vacate its denial of the petition for a writ of certiorari and hold consideration of the petition in abeyance pending disposition of No. 75-339.

Respectfully submitted,

HARRISON COMBS
PAUL R. HOEBER
STEVEN B. JACOBSON
900 Fifteenth Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20005

LEWIS D. SARGENTICH
32 Avon Street
Cambridge, Massachusetts 02138

JAMES M. HAVILAND
908 Union Building
Charleston, West Virginia 25301
Attorneys for Petitioners

CERTIFICATE OF COUNSEL

As attorney for the petitioners, I hereby certify that this petition for rehearing is presented in good faith and not for delay, and is restricted to the grounds specified in Rule 58(2).

Attorney for Petitioners.